

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANET SCHULTZ,

Plaintiff(s),

V.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. EDCV 16-689-DMG (KK)

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Third Amended Complaint, the relevant records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

On June 28, 2018, Plaintiff filed Objections to the Report and Recommendation attaching various unauthenticated exhibits. See Dkt. 178. On July 23, 2018, Defendant filed a Response to Plaintiff's Objections. Dkt. 183.

The Court has engaged in de novo review of those portions of the Report to which Plaintiff has objected. The Court finds the exhibits attached to the Objections do not support Plaintiff's Opposition to summary judgment because they are (a) either untimely or duplicative of Plaintiff's submissions in support of her Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, (b) inadmissible lay opinion, and (c) fail to establish causation even if considered on the merits.

1 Therefore, the Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate
2 Judge.

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that (1) Defendant's Motion for Summary
4 Judgment is GRANTED; and (2) Judgment shall be entered DISMISSING this
5 action with prejudice.

6 Dated: September 27, 2018
7


8 HONORABLE DOLLY M. GEE
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28